INTRODUCTION

The United States Congress has found abundant evidence of the use of 1. abusive, deceptive, and unfair debt collection practices by many debt collectors, and has determined that abusive debt collection practices contribute to the number of personal bankruptcies, to marital instability, to the loss of jobs, and to invasions of individual privacy. Congress wrote the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1692 et seq (hereinafter "FDCPA"), to eliminate abusive debt collection practices by debt collectors, to insure that those debt collectors who refrain from using abusive debt



HYDE & SWIGART

San Diego, California

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Complaint

- 1 of 7 -

collection practices are not competitively disadvantaged, and to promote consistent State action to protect consumers against debt collection abuses.

Page 2 of 9

- 2. The California legislature has determined that the banking and credit system and grantors of credit to consumers are dependent upon the collection of just and owing debts and that unfair or deceptive collection practices undermine the public confidence that is essential to the continued functioning of the banking and credit system and sound extensions of credit to consumers. The Legislature has further determined that there is a need to ensure that debt collectors exercise this responsibility with fairness, honesty and due regard for the debtor's rights and that debt collectors must be prohibited from engaging in unfair or deceptive acts or practices.
- 3. Jon Daugherty, ("Plaintiff"), through Plaintiff's attorneys, brings this action to challenge the actions of Superior Recovery Services and Associates, Inc., ("Defendants"), with regard to attempts by Defendant to unlawfully and abusively collect a debt allegedly owed by Plaintiff, and this conduct caused Plaintiff damages.
- 4. Plaintiff makes these allegations on information and belief, with the exception of those allegations that pertain to a Plaintiff, or to Plaintiff's counsel, which Plaintiff alleges on personal knowledge.

JURISDICTION AND VENUE

- 5. Jurisdiction of this Court arises pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1331, 15 U.S.C. § 1692(k), and 28 U.S.C. § 1367 for supplemental state claims.
- 6. This action arises out of Defendant's violations of the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 15 U.S.C. §§ 1692 et seq. ("FDCPA") and the Rosenthal Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, California Civil Code §§ 1788-1788.32 ("RFDCPA").

5

6

11

9

HYDE & SWIGART

15

24

- Because Defendant does business within the State of California, personal 7. jurisdiction is established.
- 8. Venue is proper pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391.

PARTIES

- Plaintiff is a natural person who resides in the city of San Diego, county of 9. San Diego, state of California.
- Defendants operate from the city of Vineland, the county of Cumberland, and 10. the state of New Jersey.
- Plaintiff is obligated or allegedly obligated to pay a debt, and is a "consumer" 11. as that term is defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(3).
- Defendants are persons who use an instrumentality of interstate commerce or 12. the mails in a business the principal purpose of which is the collection of debts, or who regularly collect or attempt to collect, directly or indirectly, debts owed or due or asserted to be owed or due another and are therefore debt collectors as that phrase is defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(6).
- Plaintiff is a natural person from whom a debt collector sought to collect a 13. consumer debt which was due and owing or alleged to be due and owing from Plaintiff, and is a "debtor" as that term is defined by California Civil Code § 1788.2(h).
- Defendants, in the ordinary course of business, regularly, on behalf of 14. themselves, or others, engage in debt collection as that term is defined by California Civil Code § 1788.2(b), are therefore debt collectors as that term is defined by California Civil Code § 1788.2(c).
- This case involves money, property or their equivalent, due or owing or 15. alleged to be due or owing from a natural person by reason of a consumer credit transaction. As such, this action arises out of a consumer debt and "consumer credit" as those terms are defined by Cal. Civ. Code § 1788.2(f).

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

- 2
- 3
- 5

4

6

7

- 8
- 9
- 10 11
- 12
- 13
- San Diego, California 14

HYDE & SWIGART

- 15 16
- 17
- 18
- 19
- 20 21
- 22
- 23
- 24 25
- 26
- 27

- At all times relevant to this matter, Plaintiff was an individual residing within 16. the State of California.
- At all times relevant, Defendants conducted business with the State of 17. Sometime before April 16, 2007, Plaintiff is alleged to have California. incurred certain financial obligations
- These financial obligations were primarily for personal, family or household 18. purposes and are therefore "debt(s)" as that term is defined by 15 U.S.C. §1692a(5).
- These alleged obligations were money, property, or their equivalent, which is 19. due or owing, or alleged to be due or owing, from a natural person to another person and were therefore "debt(s)" as that term is defined by California Civil Code §1788.2(d), and a "consumer debt" as that term is defined by California Civil Code §1788.2(f).
- Sometime thereafter, but before April 16, 2007, Plaintiff allegedly fell behind 20. in the payments allegedly owed on the alleged debt. Plaintiff currently takes no position as to he validity of this alleged debt.
- Subsequently, but before April 16, 2007, the alleged debt was assigned, 21. placed, or otherwise transferred, to Defendants for collection.
- On or about April 16, 2007, Defendants mailed a dunning letter to Plaintiff. 22. A few days later, Plaintiff received that letter.
- This communication to Plaintiff was a "communication" as that term is 23. defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(2), and an "initial communication" consistent with 15 U.S.C. § 1692g(a).
- This communication was a "debt collection" as Cal. Civ. Code 1788.2(b) 24. defines that phrase, and an "initial communication" consistent with Cal. Civ. Code § 1812.700(b).

9

10

11

12

1

25.

San Diego, California

16 17 18

> 19 20

21

22 23

24

26

25

27

28

Defendant failed within five days after this initial communication with
Plaintiff, to provide written notification containing a statement that unless the
consumer, within thirty days after receipt of the notice, disputes the validity of
the debt, or any portion thereof, the debt will be assumed to be valid by the
debt collector, and failed within five days after the initial communication with
Plaintiff to provide a written notice containing a statement that if the
consumer notifies the debt collector in writing within the thirty-day period
that the debt, or any portion thereof, is disputed, the debt collector will obtain
verification of the debt or a copy of a judgment against the consumer and a
copy of such verification or judgment will be mailed to the consumer by the
debt collector, and that the debt collector will provide the consumer with the
name and address of the original creditor, thereby violating 15 U.S.C. §§
1692e, 1692e(10), 1692f, and 1692g. Because of this omission, Defendant
also violated Cal. Civ. Code § 1788.17.

In this communication, Defendant as defined pursuant to Cal. Civ. Code § 26. 1788.2(c), failed, in the manner prescribed by Cal. Civ. Code § 1812.700(b) or Cal. Civ. Code § 1812.701(b), to provide a notice to Plaintiff as prescribed in Cal. Civ. Code § 1812.700(a). Consequently, pursuant to Cal. Civ. Code § 1812.702, this omission by Defendant violated the RFDCPA, Cal. Civ. Code 1788 et seq.

CAUSES OF ACTION

COUNT I

VIOLATIONS OF THE FAIR DEBT COLLECTION PRACTICES ACT 15 U.S.C. §§ 1692 ET SEQ.

Plaintiff incorporates by reference all of the above paragraphs of this 27. Complaint as though fully stated herein.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

- The foregoing acts and omissions constitute numerous and multiple violations 28. of the FDCPA, including but not limited to each and every one of the abovecited provisions of the FDCPA, 15 U.S.C. § 1692 et seg.
- As a result of each and every violation of the FDCPA, Plaintiff is entitled to 29. any actual damages pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(a)(1); statutory damages in an amount up to \$1,000.00 pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(a)(2)(A); and, reasonable attorney's fees and costs pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(a)(3) from each Defendant.

COUNT II

VIOLATIONS OF THE ROSENTHAL FAIR DEBT COLLECTION PRACTICES ACT CAL. CIV. CODE §§ 1788-1788.32

- Plaintiff incorporates by reference all of the above paragraphs of this 30. Complaint as though fully stated herein.
- The foregoing acts and omissions constitute numerous and multiple violations 31. of the RFDCPA.
- As a result of each and every violation of the RFDCPA, Plaintiff is entitled to 32. any actual damages pursuant to Cal. Civ. Code § 1788.30(a); statutory damages for a knowing or willful violation in the amount up to \$1,000.00 pursuant to Cal. Civ. Code § 1788.30(b); and reasonable attorney's fees and costs pursuant to Cal. Civ. Code § 1788.30(c) from each Defendant.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff prays that judgment be entered against Defendants, and Plaintiff be awarded damages from each and every defendant, jointly and severably, as follows:

HYDE & SWIGART

San Diego, California

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT

SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA SAN DIEGO DIVISION

149063 - SH * * C O P Y * * March 24, 2008 16:17:21

Civ Fil Non-Pris

USAO #.: 08CV0549

Judge..: ROGER T BENITEZ

Amount.:

\$350.00 CK

Check#.: BC2343

Total-> \$350.00

FROM: DAUGHERTY V. SUPERIOR RECOVERY SERVICES AND ASSOC. INC

J	Э	4	Ŧ	

2017		
(Rav. 07/89)	CIVIL COVER SHEET	
	herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or oth	
rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Confere	ence of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Cler	k of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket
A COMPANY OF THE PROPERTY OF T	AC 8170 FAD) ()	

(a) PLAINTIFFS Jon Daugherty		DEFENDANTS Superior Recovery Ser	rvices and				_ () M 3: 4(] 99	B
(b) COUNTY OF RESIDENCE OF FIRST LISTED San Diego PLAINTIFF (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES)		COUNTY OF RESIDENCE OF (IN U.S. PLAINTIF NOTE: IN LAND CONDEMNAT INVOLVED	FF CASES ON	VLY)					
(c) ATTORNEYS (FIRM NAME, Joshua B. Swigart (8BN 225557) 411 Camino Del Rio South, Ste. 301	ADDRESS, AND TELEPHONE NUMBER)	ATTORNEYS (IF KNOWN) Unknown	80°	CV	54	9 .	BEN	WM	C
San Diego, CA 92108 Tel: 819-233-7770									
San Diego, CA 92108 Tel: 619-233-7770	LACE AN x IN ONE BOX ONLY)	III. CITIZENSHIP OF PRINC (For Diversity Cases Only)	IPAL PARTI	ES (PLAC FOR PLA	E AN X E	N ONE I	BOX E BOX FOR	DEFEN	DAN'
San Diego, CA 92108 Tel: 619-233-7770	PLACE AN x IN ONE BOX ONLY) 3Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party)		IPAL PARTE	FOR PLA	AINTIFF A	IND ON	BOX IE BOX FOR ace of Busine	PT	DEI

JURISDICTIONAL STATUTES UNLESS DIVERSITY).

Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 15 U.S.C. §1692 et seq. and the Rosenthal Pair Debt Collection Practices Act, Cal Civ Code 1788-1788.32 (RFDCPA)

CONTRACT	Т	ORTS	FORFEITURE/PENALTY	BANKRUPTCY	OTHER STATUTES	
110 Insurance	PERSONAL INJURY	PERSONAL INJURY	610 Agriculture	422 Appeal 28 USC 158	400 State Reappointment	
120 Marine	310 Airplane	362 Personal Injury-	620 Other Food & Drug	423 Withdrawal 28 USC 157	410 Antitrust	
130 Miller Act	315 Airplane Product Liability	Medical Malpractice	625 Drug Related Seizure	PROPERTY RIGHTS	430 Banks and Banking	
140 Negotiable Instrument	320 Assault, Libel & Slander	🔁 365 Personal Injury -	of Property 21 USC881	820 Copyrights	450 Commerce/ICC Rates/etc.	
150 Recovery of Overpayment	330 Federal Employers	Product Liability	630 Liquor Laws	830 Patent	460 Deportation	
&Enforcement of Judgment	Liability	368 Asbestos Personal Injury	640 RR & Truck	840 Trademark	470 Racketeer Influenced and	
151 Medicare Act	340 Marine	Product Liability	650 Airline Regs	SOCIAL SECURITY	Corrupt Organizations	
152 Recovery of Defaulted Student	345 Marine Product	PERSONAL PROPERTY	660 Occupational Safety/Health	861 HIA (13958)	810 Selective Service	
Losns (Excl. Veterans)	Liability	370 Other Fraud	690 Other	862 Black Lung (923)	850 Securities/Commodities	
153Recovery of Overpayment	350 Motor Vehicle	371 Truth in Lending	LABOR	863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g))	Exchange	
of Veterans Benefits	■355 Motor Vehicle Product	380 Other Personal	710Fair Labor Standards Act	864 SSID Title XVI	875 Customer Challenge 12 U	
160 Stockholders Suits	Liability	Property Damage	720 Labor/Mgmr. Relations	865 RSL (405(g))	891 Agricultural Acts	
190 Other Contract	360 Other Personal Injury	385 Property Damage	730 Labor/Mgmt. Reporting & Disclosure Act 740 Railway Labor Act	FEDERAL TAX SUITS	892 Economic Stabilization A	
195 Contract Product Liability		Product Liability		870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff	893 Environmental Matters	
REAL PROPERTY	CIVIL RIGHTS	PRISONER PETITIONS		or Defendant)	894 Energy Allocation Act	
210 Land Condennation	441 Voting	510 Motions to Vacate Sentence	790 Other Labor Litigation	871 IRS - Third Party	895 Freedom of Information A	
220 Foreclosure	442 Employment	Habeas Corpus	791 Empl. Ret. Inc.	26 USC 7609	900 Appeal of Fee Determinat	
230 Rent Lease & Electmant	443 Housing/Accommodations	530 General	Security Act		Under Equal Access to Justice	
240 Tort to Land	444 Welfare	535 Death Penalty	Ì		950 Constitutionality of State	
245 Tort Product Liability	440 Other Civil Rights	540 Mandamus & Other		1	890 Other Statutory Actions	
290 All Other Real Property		550 Civil Rights				
		555 Prisoner Conditions				
I. ORIGIN (PLÁCE AN X I	N ONE BOX ONLY)					
•	•			· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
1 Original Proceeding 2 F State	Removal from 3 Remander Court Court	d from Appelate 4 Reinstated Reopened	or 5 Transferred from another district (specify)		■ 7 Appeal to District Judge fr Magistrate Judgment	
II. REQUESTED IN	CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION		DEMAND \$ 75000	Check YES only	y if demanded in complaint:	
OMPLAINT:	UNDER f.r.c.p. 23	CERCO ACTION	75000	JURY DEMAND: 2 YES 1 NO		
/III. RELATED CASE(S) IF	ANY (See Instructions):	UDGE		Docket Number		

